#### OPENING A NEW WINDOW ONTO THE PHYSICS OF TYPE IA SUPERNOVAE

#### Carles Badenes (Rutgers University)

Chandra Fellows Symposium Harvard CfA, October 13 2006

Collaborators: J.P. Hughes, J. Warren (Rutgers) K.J. Borkowski (NCSU) E. Bravo (UPC/IEEC) U. Hwang (NASA)



### OUTLINE

The excellent X-ray observations of Type Ia Supernova Remnants (SNRs) provided by *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton*, together with hydrodynamic + nonequilibrium ionization (HD+NEI) modeling, can put strong, quantitative constraints on the physics of Type Ia supernovae (SNe)

- Physics of Type Ia SN explosions: still many open issues.
- From SN to SNR: challenges and techniques. HD+NEI simulations.

#### > Results:

- > Tycho SNR: only delayed detonation models can explain the fundamental properties of the X-ray emission. All other explosion paradigms fail (in particular, 3D deflagrations with well-mixed ejecta can be confidently discarded).
- SN1006 SNR: preliminary results also suggest a delayed detonation model.
- Conclusions.

### The Physics of Type Ia SNe: Ejecta Structure

**Carles Badenes** CfA 10/13/06

Thermonuclear explosion of a C+O WD in a binary system (but many important) details are still obscure).

- > Type Ia SNe: ejecta structure  $\rightleftharpoons$  physics of the explosion.
- > This relationship has been explored extensively with 1D codes:



Roepke et al. 2006 A&A 448,



[Bravo & García-Senz 2006 ApJ 642, L157]

## **SNRs: Light From The Ashes**

> Supernova Remnants (SNRs): ⇒ interaction between SN ejecta and the surrounding ambient medium (AM).

> Supersonic shock waves (~10<sup>3</sup> km.s<sup>-1</sup>) heat AM and ejecta to X-ray emitting temperatures  $\Rightarrow$  centuries after the light of the SN fades away, the ejecta are revealed once again.

> Chandra and XMM provide observations of excellent quality  $\Rightarrow$ 

 The dynamics and X-ray emission of young SNRs (Tycho, SN1006, Kepler, Cas A) are dominated by SN ejecta.

There is a lot of information about the structure of the SN ejecta in the X-ray emission of the SNR, but it needs to be properly analyzed and interpreted



Tycho's SNR. Top: *Chandra* image [Warren et al. 2005, ApJ 634, 376]. Bottom: *XMM* spectrum [Badenes et al. 2006, ApJ 645, 1373]



### From SN explosion to SNR (I)

#### Carles Badenes CfA 10/13/06





Low ρ plasma in SNRs is in
Nonequilibrium Ionization (NEI).

> Hydrodynamic evolution and Xray emission are coupled by the NEI processes! [Badenes et al. 2003, ApJ 593, 358; 2005, ApJ 624, 198]

# From SN explosion to SNR (II)

#### Carles Badenes CfA 10/13/06

- Complete hydro + nonequilibrium ionization simulation in 1D, uniform AM.
- > Parameters: AM density,  $\rho_{AM}$ =10<sup>-24</sup> g.cm<sup>-3</sup>; SNR age,  $t_{SNR}$ =430 yr; amount of collisionless e<sup>-</sup> heating at the RS,  $\beta$ [= $\epsilon_{e,s}/\epsilon_{i,s}$ ]= $\beta_{min}$ ...0.1.
- > Different chemical elements emit X-rays under different conditions.



### Tycho SNR: Evidence for Cosmic Ray Acceleration

> FS is very close to CD ( $R_{CD}$  ≃ 0.93 $R_{FS}$ ) ⇒ Cosmic Rays are being accelerated at the FS [Warren et al. 2005, ApJ 634, 376].

CR-modified dynamics cannot be studied with standard hydro [Ellison et al. 2004, A&A 413, 189].

- RS is NOT accelerating CRs:
  - Not close to CD.
  - Fraced by hot Fe Kα

CR acceleration at the FS does not appear to disturb the dynamics of the shocked ejecta [Blondin & Ellison 2001, ApJ 560, 244].

⇒ standard HD+NEI models seem appropriate for the shocked ejecta



Warren et al. 2005, ApJ 634, 376

Carles Badenes CfA 10/13/06

#### Tycho SNR: Models vs. Data – The Winner

Carles Badenes CfA 10/13/06

> Compare ejecta emission to observed spectrum  $\Rightarrow$  add AM emission: Power law with  $\Gamma$ =2.72 [Fink et al. 1994 A&A 283, 635].

> Best model: **DDTc** (1D delayed detonation),  $\rho_{AM} = 2x10^{-24}$  g.cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\beta = 0.03$ .

#### Things to note:

> Only N<sub>H</sub> and the normalizations are fitted.

The ejecta model reproduces the emission from ALL elements: O, Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Fe.

 Fit is very good, but not perfect.

 Continuum is mostly nonthermal AM emission.



#### Tycho SNR: Models vs. Data – The Winner's Close Relatives

Carles Badenes CfA 10/13/06

> Other delayed detonations are also successful at high energies (E>1keV).

> Low-energy (E<1keV) emission  $\Rightarrow$  strong constraints on the amount of <sup>56</sup>Ni and O synthesized in the explosion  $\Rightarrow \rho_{tr}$ .



#### **Tycho SNR: Models vs. Data – The Losers**

Carles Badenes CfA 10/13/06

> All models that are NOT delayed detonations FAIL:





- > The thermal X-ray emission in SN1006 is also dominated by ejecta.
- > Model DDTe ( $\rho_{AM}$ =2x10<sup>-25</sup> g.cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\beta$ =0.1) + powerlaw + absorption.
- > Work in progress, but DDT models are the only ones that work well so far...

11

## Looking back, looking ahead

#### ▹ So far:

- ID HD+NEI models without CR acceleration can reproduce the fundamental properties of the spatially integrated X-ray emission from SN ejecta in Tycho and SN1006.
- > Direct link to explosion physics. For Tycho, model DDTc:  $E_k$ =1.16·10<sup>51</sup> erg,  $M_{Fe}$ =0.8  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_O$ =0.12  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{Si}$ =0.17  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_S$ =0.13  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{Ar}$ =0.033  $M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{Ca}$ =0.038  $M_{\odot}$ .
- Description of BOTH X-ray emission and ejecta dynamics.
- The library of synthetic spectra is PUBLIC!

#### For the future:

- CR acceleration is needed to explain the dynamics of young SNRs.
- > Understanding the spatially resolved X-ray emission from the SN ejecta will require multi-D HD+NEI simulations.

### CONCLUSIONS

The excellent X-ray observations of Type Ia Supernova Remnants (SNRs) provided by *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton*, together with hydrodynamic + nonequilibrium ionization (HD+NEI) modeling, can put strong, quantitative constraints on the physics of Type Ia supernovae (SNe)

> Relevance to Type Ia SNe: SNRs provide results that are completely independent from those obtained using optical light curves and spectra.

Relevance to SNRs: understand the X-ray emission from the SN ejecta (and hence dynamics, CR acceleration, etc.).

#### **RESULTS:**

> Tycho SNR: only delayed detonation models can explain the fundamental properties of the X-ray emission. All other explosion paradigms fail (in particular, 3D deflagrations with well-mixed ejecta can be confidently discarded).

SN1006: preliminary results also suggest a delayed detonation model.

> Gory details: Badenes et al. 2006, ApJ 645, 1373.